



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/833,680	04/13/2001	Takeshi Yukitake	JEL 29186C-RE-DIVI	2844	
7:	7590 06/15/2004			EXAMINER	
James E. Ledt	oetter, Esq.	LEE, RICHARD J			
Stevens Davis	Miller & Mosher, L.L.P.				
1615 L Street NW, Suite 850 P.O. Box 34387			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613		
Washington,, DC 20043-4387			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/833,680	YUKITAKE ET AL.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard Lee	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 24 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR I	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing d					
 b)	re later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). T fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perio fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the C timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the corresponding amo of the shortened statutory period for reply office later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	·	•			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: §		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows		• •			
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-6</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem					
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Richard Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2613 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the supplemental reissue declaration filed 5/24/04 is defective for the following reasons. There appears to be a discrepancy between the supplemental reissue declaration and the amendment filed 11/24/03. The supplemental reissue declaration includes a statement that application 09/833,680 is a divisional of parent application 09/559,627, while page 2 of the amendment filed 11/24/03 includes a statement that application 09/833,680 is a continuation of reissue application 09/559,627.

Continuation of 10. Other: The Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) and the Assent of Assignee filed 5/24/04 are acceptable..